**2022 NLN Nursing Education Scholarship Award Rubric**

Application Requirements Checklist

1. **Introduction**: Describe your need for support and clarity of purpose.
   * Max. 250 words.
2. Description of your **Program of Study**(i.e. dissertation, capstone, scholarly project, pilot project).
   * Max. 250 words.
3. Include specific **outline, timeline and projected graduation date**.
   * If you have completed a dissertation proposal defense or had a DNP project approved, please include defense date. If project has been IRB approved, please indicate.
   * Max. 500 words.
4. Describe how your study**links to NLN’s**[mission statement](http://www.nln.org/about/mission-goals)**and**[core values](http://www.nln.org/about/core-values).
   * Max 250 words
5. Describe your **goals as a nurse educator**.
   * Max. 500 words
6. 2 Letters of recommendation
   * Must be addressed to the NLN Foundation
   * Formal letterhead (preferably one professional and one academic)
   * Letters should address:
     1. Feasibility of completion including confirmation of where applicant is in the process (I.e. IRB approval date, dissertation proposal defense, capstone project approval).
     2. How the project aligns with NLN values
     3. Potential for significant contribution to nursing education
   * *Max. 500 words per letter*
7. **Budget Narrative:** Provide an itemized budget regarding your intention touse the scholarship monies. Be sure that each line item on the budget is clearly justified.
   * *Items not allowable for scholarship monies include cost for certifications, health insurance, or registration/ travel expenses for professional development conferences. However, registration and travel to disseminate outcomes is allowable.*
8. **Bio sketch form** (available on SM Apply).

Please note that there is not a specific application template, however, each application should attempt to follow the order of the checklist and use headings indicative of these requirements. Items 1-7 must be submitted as ONE pdf file and item 8 is submitted separately as a word document. Incomplete applications will be automatically disqualified.

**NLN Foundation Scholarship Review Rubric**

The Proposal Review Rubric below is being used for the 2022 grant cycle. Components of the rubric are not weighted.

Applicable components that are missing will receive a 0 and the component will be included in the percentage score.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **RUBRIC GUIDE** | **Excellent = 4** | **Good = 3** | **Fair = 2** | **Poor = 1** | **0 = component not addressed** |
| One full year completed and feasibility of completion, i.e. IRB approval for research, dissertation proposal defended, capstone project approved | Completion  very feasible | Feasible | Fairly feasible | Little feasibility | Not feasible |
| Proposal is consistent with the NLN’s core values of Caring, Integrity, Diversity & Inclusion, Excellence | Highly consistent with the goals | Consistent with the goals | Some consistency with the goals | Little consistency with the goal | No consistency |
| Potential for fulltime faculty position | Currently employed as academic or clinical nurse educator | Currently adjunct or part-time as academic or clinical nurse educator | Seeking position or employed as Graduate or Teaching Assistant | No clear indication of seeking role | No mention of current or future position |
| Potential for significant contribution to nursing education. Demonstrates contribution to nursing education with some evidence of scholarship and research agenda. | Significant potential | Somewhat significant potential | Little potential | Very little potential | No potential |
| Clarity of writing, i.e. jargon-free syntax | Very well written | Well written | Somewhat well written | Somewhat poorly written | Poorly written - many typos and/or grammatical errors |
| Clear purpose of request for funding. Narrative explanation of why they need funding and how they’ll use it. | Very clear purpose | Clear Purpose | Purpose mostly clear | Purpose not clear | Purpose not included or does not meet approved use of scholarship funds |
| Itemized Budget, i.e. detailed breakdown of where and how award will be spent | Budget very clear/expenses detailed and well justified | Itemized but lacks detail | Some itemization | Poor budget clarity and justification | Budget not included or doesn't meet approved use of scholarship funds |
| **Letters of recommendation support:** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Feasibility of completion (includes proof of approval for research, dissertation, and/or capstone project) | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| 1. Alignment with NLN core values | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| 1. Potential for significant contribution to nursing education | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| **Overall comments, i.e. strengths and weaknesses** |  |  |  |  |  |